SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Stamford State: CT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$9,711,002 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (| |
|---|----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 6 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.72 % | 95.36 % | 5 | 96.48 % | 28 | 27 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 77.26 % | 85.80 % | 5 | 89.00 % | 8 | 6 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 7.3 | 5.32 | 1 | 4.81 | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 83.40 % | 81.94 % | 3 | 83.25 % | 18 | 25 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 65.61 % | 79.05 % | 5 | 70.99 % | 28 | 30 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 92.35 % | 88.27 % | 1 | 81.75 % | 72 | 77 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 38.25 % | 49.95 % | 6 | 45.84 % | 34 | 34 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 99.52 % | 1 | 96.12 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 4 / 6 | Nation | nally: 49 | 46 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$16,476 | \$17,245 | | \$27,889 | 183 Units | 47.70 |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$20,391 | \$18,611 | | \$15,632 | 201 Units | 52.30 |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$0 | \$20,089 | | \$21,037 | 0 Units | 0.00 |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$5,046 | | \$3,206 | 0 Units | 0.00 |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units СТ Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Stamford **Total Development Costs:** Rental Homebuyer Homeowner **CHDO Operating Expenses:** PJ: 0.0 % (average reported cost per unit in (% of allocation) 1.2 % **National Avg:** PJ: \$80,243 \$179,609 \$0 HOME-assisted projects) \$114,560 \$108,344 \$32,585 State:* 1.1 National:** \$23,907 **R.S. Means Cost Index:** \$99,461 \$78,568

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| White: | 25.1 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 33.3 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 37.2 | 56.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 26.2 | 46.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 31.1 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 6.6 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 36.6 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTAN | ICE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 30.6 | 23.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 25.1 | 0.5 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 18.6 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 25.1 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 8.2 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 17.5 | 16.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 66.7 | • | | |
| 5 Persons: | 6.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 2.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant U | Units / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 76 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Stamford State: CT Group Rank: 49 (Percentile)

State Rank: 4 6 PJs
Overall Rank: 46
(Percentile)

Summary: 1 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 77.87% | 83.4 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 53.90% | 65.61 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 92.35 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.09% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.415 | 3.26 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.